



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,336	10/03/2003	Laurence B. Boucher	ALA-008H	9798
24501	7590	12/03/2009	EXAMINER	
MARK A LAUER			DAVENPORT, MON CHERI S	
6601 KOLL CENTER PARKWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 245			2462	
PLEASANTON, CA 94566				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		12/03/2009		PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/678,336	BOUCHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MON CHERI S. DAVENPORT	2462	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2009.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. **Claims 1-13, 17-22, and 24-27** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kerr et al. (US Patent 6,243,667).

Regarding **Claim 1** Kerr et al. discloses a method of identifying multiple packets in a communication flow between a source entity and a destination entity, comprising (see figure 2, message flow patterns):

storing a first flow identifier of a first packet received from a source entity for a destination entity, wherein said first flow identifier comprises an identifier of the source entity and an identifier of the destination entity (see col. 3, lines 57-67, flow identifying, identifying a flow for the packet, see col. 6, lines 29-41, the flow cache, stores the flow identifiers, including the source and the destination);

storing said first packet in a packet memory for transfer toward the destination entity; storing a second flow identifier of a second packet( see col. 6, lines 32-42, flow cache( memory), stores the flow identifiers, see col. 3, lines 56-67, the router stores the packet for transfer to the destination);

storing said second packet in said packet memory; determining whether said first flow identifier matches said second flow identifier( see col. 3, lines 55-67, the router stores packets, and identifies the message flow using the flow identifier of the header);

storing a first indicator in the destination entity if a first communication flow identified by said first flow identifier comprises said second packet;( see col. 4, lines 1-7, the routing device look up the flow cache to determine a flow, results are identified or new) and

storing a second indicator in the destination entity if said first packet is the only packet stored in the packet memory that is part of said first communication flow( see col. 4, lines 1-7, the flow is identified as new if the first packet only packet part of the communication flow).

Regarding **Claims 2 and 24** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claims 1 and 3*).

prior to said storing a first flow identifier, parsing said first packet to retrieve said identifier of the source entity and said identifier of the destination entity( see col. 3, lines 56-67, the routing device examines a header for the packet, to retrieve identifiers).

Regarding **Claims 3 and 22** Kerr et al. discloses a method of identifying one or more packets in a communication flow between a source entity and a destination entity, comprising:

receiving a first packet at a communication device( see col. 3, lines 55-56, receives a packet);

identifying a first communication flow comprising said first packet with a first flow identifier configured to identify both the source entity and the destination entity(see col. 3, lines

57-67, flow identifying, identifying a flow for the packet, see col. 6, lines 29-41, the flow cache, stores the flow identifiers, including the source and the destination);

determining whether said first communication flow also comprises a second packet received at said communication device after said first packet was received at said communication device( see col. 3, lines 49-67, the router determines the message flow of the received packets); and

transferring said first packet to a host computer for processing in accordance with a communication protocol associated with said first packet( see col. 2-3, lines 50-2, the router, processes in accordance to a transmission protocol type of the first packet).

Regarding **Claim 4** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 3*).

transferring said second packet to said host computer( see col. 3, lines 55-56, the router receive packet);

wherein said host computer is configured to collectively process a header portion of said first packet and a header portion of said second packet in accordance with said communication protocol( see col. 2-3, lines 50-2, the router, processes in accordance to a transmission protocol type of the first packet, see col. 3, lines 57-67, the header is examined).

Regarding **Claims 5 and 18** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claims 3 and 16*).

wherein said identifying comprises:

receiving a flow key generated by concatenating an identifier of the source entity and an identifier of the destination entity( see col. 6, lines 32-41, the flow keys , with information about message flows to include the source and the destination flow identifiers);

wherein said first flow identifier comprises said flow key( see col. 6, lines 32-41, the flow cache includes the flow keys about the messages flows).

Regarding **Claims 6 and 17** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claims 3 and 16*).

wherein said identifying comprises:

receiving an index of said first communication flow in a flow database; wherein said first flow identifier comprises said index( see1 col. 6, lines 31-49, the flow cache had a buckets of entries, of a database flow, which comprises a four-byte pointer( reads on index)).

Regarding **Claim 7** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 3*).

wherein said determining comprises comparing said first flow identifier with a second flow identifier associated with a second packet received at said communication device (see col. 4, lines 1-7, the routing device performs lookup in a flow cache comparing the flow identifiers with second packet to determine message flows).

Regarding **Claim 8** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 7*).

wherein said determining further comprises:

storing said first flow identifier in a flow memory( see col. 6, lines 29-50, the flow ccache stores the flow identifiers in a flow memory) ; and

storing said second flow identifier in said flow memory( see col. 6, lines 29-50, the second flow identifier is stored); and

comparing said stored first flow identifier and said stored second flow identifier( see col. 4, lines 1-7, the message flow is identified by comparing flow identifiers).

Regarding **Claim 9** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 8*).

wherein said flow memory is an associative memory in said communication device (see figure 3, section 300 flow caches).

Regarding **Claim 10** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 3*).

storing said first packet in a packet memory (see col. 2, lines 40-45, the router stores the packet memory).

Regarding **Claim 11** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 10*).

wherein said determining comprises comparing said first flow identifier configured to identify said first communication flow with a second flow identifier configured to identify a second communication flow comprising a packet stored in said packet memory (see col. 4, lines 1-7, the message flow is identified by comparing flow identifiers, if new flow is determined or old message flow).

Regarding **Claim 12** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 3*).

Informing said host computer of said transfer of said first packet (see col. 4, lines 61-63, the router devices transfer the packet)

Regarding **Claim 13** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 12*).

said informing comprises configuring an indicator in a host memory ( see col. 4, lines 61-63, the router device routes the packets in response to routing information retrieved from step 225( see figure 2)).

Regarding **Claim 19** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 16*).

wherein said packet memory comprises said flow memory (see col. 3, lines 40-48, the routing device (packet memory, maintains the flow cache)).

Regarding **Claim 20 and 27** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claims 16 and 3*).

storing a first indicator in a host memory if said communication flow comprises said second packet; and storing a second indicator in said host memory if said first packet is the only packet in said packet memory that is part of said communication flow (see col. 4, lines 1-7, the message flow is identified by comparing flow identifiers, if new flow is determined or old message flow).

Regarding **Claims 25 and 26** Kerr et al. discloses a communication interface, comprising:

a header parser configured to parse a header of a first packet received at the communication interface, wherein the first packet was issued from a source entity for a destination entity( see col. 3, lines 57-67, the router device examines the headers of the received packets);

a flow database configured to facilitate management of a communication flow comprising the first packet, the flow database comprising( see1 col. 6, lines 31-49, the flow cache had a buckets of entries, of a database flow, which comprises a four-byte pointer( reads on index));

a flow key configured to identify the communication flow using identifiers of the source entity and the destination entity( see col. 6, lines 32-36, the flow cache, comprise a memory which associated flow keys which include the source and the destination);

an activity indicator configured to indicate a recency with which a packet in the communication flow has been received( see col. 5, lines 51-54, at step 241, the routing device examines, in the flow cache and compares the current time with the last time a packet was routed using a particular entry); and

a validity indicator for indicating whether the communication flow is valid( see col. 3, lines 39-49, the routing device maintains the flow cache and remove message flow that are no longer valid. Indicating message flow is no longer valid);

a code generator configured to generate an operation code for the first packet, to facilitate forwarding of the first packet toward the destination entity( see col. 6, lines 29-41, the flow

cache has flow keys that reads on operation code, which includes information about a particular message flow); and

a packet batching module configured to determine whether a second packet received at the communication interface is part of the communication flow( see col. 3-4, lines 57-7, the router device identifies a message flow by comparing received packets ).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1.     **Claims 14-16 and 23** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerr et al. in view of Davies et al. (US Patent 5,819,111).

Regarding **Claim 14** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 13*).

Kerr et al. fails to specifically point out wherein said indicator is configured to indicate that said host computer should delay processing said first packet until said second packet is transferred to said host computer as claimed.

Davies et al. teaches wherein said indicator is configured to indicate that said host computer should delay processing said first packet until said second packet is transferred to said host computer (See col 4, lines 8-13, The disabling step can include checking if a run length encoded data transfer is pending from the host, and if so, delaying disabling of the data transfers from the host to the peripheral until a data byte associated with the run length encoded data is received by the interface controller)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kerr et al. invention with Davies et al. invention because Davies

et al. invention provides provide methods and apparatus for reducing the complexity of programming on the peripheral side of an IEEE interface (see Davies et al. col. 3, lines 10-16)

Regarding **Claim 15** Kerr et al. discloses everything as applied above (*see claim 13*).

Kerr et al. fails to specifically point out wherein said indicator indicates that said host computer should not delay processing said first packet as claimed.

Davies et al. teaches out wherein said indicator indicates that said host computer should not delay processing said first packet (See col 4, lines 8-13, The disabling step can include checking if a run length encoded data transfer is pending from the host, and if so, delaying disabling of the data transfers from the host to the peripheral until a data byte associated with the run length encoded data is received by the interface controller, otherwise do not delay)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kerr et al. invention with Davies et al. invention because Davies et al. invention provides provide methods and apparatus for reducing the complexity of programming on the peripheral side of an IEEE interface (see Davies et al. col. 3, lines 10-16).

Regarding **Claim 16** Kerr et al. discloses a method of transferring a packet from a network interface to a host computer, comprising:

receiving a first packet at a network interface( see col. 3, lines 55-56, receives a packet);  
storing said first packet in a packet memory see col. 3, lines 55-67, the router stores packets,)

receiving a first flow identifier configured to identify a communication flow comprising said first packet(see col. 3, lines 57-67, flow identifying, identifying a flow for the packet, see col. 6, lines 29-41, the flow cache, stores the flow identifiers, including the source and the destination);

storing said first flow identifier in a flow memory(see col. 6, lines 29-41, the flow cache, stores the flow identifiers, including the source and the destination);

searching said flow memory for a second packet in said communication flow received at the network interface after said first packet( see col. 3, lines 49-67, the router determines the message flow of the received packets);

transferring said first packet to said host computer( see col. 2-3, lines 50-2, the router, processes in accordance to a transmission protocol type of the first packet); and

Kerr et al. fails to specifically point out configuring an indicator in a host memory to indicate whether processing of said first packet by said host computer should be delayed to await transfer of said second packet to said host memory as claimed.

Davies et al. teaches configuring an indicator in a host memory to indicate whether processing of said first packet by said host computer should be delayed to await transfer of said second packet to said host memory (See col 4, lines 8-13, The disabling step can include checking if a run length encoded data transfer is pending from the host, and if so, delaying disabling of the data transfers from the host to the peripheral until a data byte associated with the run length encoded data is received by the interface controller, otherwise do not delay).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kerr et al. invention with Davies et al. invention because Davies et al. invention provides provide methods and apparatus for reducing the complexity of programming on the peripheral side of an IEEE interface (see Davies et al. col. 3, lines 10-16)

Regarding **Claim 23** Kerr et al. discloses a processor readable storage medium containing a data structure configured to store information concerning a packet to be transferred from a network interface to a host computer, the data structure including one or more entries, each entry comprising:

a flow number configured to identify a communication flow comprising a first packet received at the network interface from a source entity for a destination entity associated with the host computer( see col. 6, lines 29-41, the flow cache has flow keys that reads on flow number); and

a validity indicator configured to provide( see col. 3, lines 39-49, the routing device maintains the flow cache and remove message flow that are no longer valid. Indicating message flow is no longer valid);

wherein said data structure is searched for a second entry containing said flow number when said first packet is transferred to the host computer to determine if said communication flow also comprises a second packet received at the network interface after said first packet (see col. 3-4, lines 57-7, the routing device identifies a message flow, the packets are compared to determine if is part of a message flow).

Kerr et al. fails to specifically point out a first indication if said first packet is ready for transfer to the host computer; and a second indication if said first packet is a control packet as claimed;

Davies et al teaches a first indication if said first packet is ready for transfer to the host computer (See col 4, lines 8-13, The disabling step can include checking if a run length encoded data transfer is pending from the host, and if so, delaying disabling of the data transfers from the host to the peripheral until a data byte associated with the run length encoded data is received by the interface controller, otherwise do not delay

a second indication if said first packet is a control packet( see col. 3, lines 28-41, method can include after execution of the step of transferring a data block, either setting the interface controller to disable acknowledgment of receipt of data if a flow control status flag indicates pending flow stop, receiving of control packets)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kerr et al. invention with Davies et al. invention because Davies et al. invention provides provide methods and apparatus for reducing the complexity of programming on the peripheral side of an IEEE interface (see Davies et al. col. 3, lines 10-16).

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments see pgs 1-2, filed 7/21/2009, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-27 under 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

***Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment***

Examiner acknowledges corrections made in response to the notice of non-compliant amendment.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MON CHERI S. DAVENPORT whose telephone number is (571)270-1803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Seema S. Rao/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit  
2462

/Mon Cheri S Davenport/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2462  
November 21, 2009